**Template for Review of Research Proposal for Transfer to the PhD Register**

This template is intended as a guideline for External Reviewers who are assessing Research Proposals for Transfer from the Masters to the CIT Doctoral Register. Please use as much space as required and describe in as much detail as possible any issues which need to be addressed by the student.

**Name of student**: Click here to enter text.

**Title of project**: Click here to enter text.

**Name of reviewer**: Click here to enter text.

**Affiliation of reviewer**: Click here to enter text.

**Date:** Click here to enter a date.

**Review of Progress to Date**

|  |
| --- |
| **Is progress to date in line with the original work plan or has the student clearly explained the reasons for any deviations?** |
| **Please comment:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are progress to date and the results obtained sufficient to indicate that the student has the ability to continue to a PhD?** |
| **Please comment:** |

**Review of PhD Proposal**

|  |
| --- |
| **Are the objectives of the research described clearly and in sufficient detail?** |
| **Please comment:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Does the proposal demonstrate sufficient familiarity with the state of the art in the field?** |
| **Please comment:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are the expected originality and novel contributions to the research field clearly and appropriately described?** |
| **Please comment:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Is the research methodology adequate, and aligned with the objectives?** |
| **Please comment:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are the work plan and schedule feasible for the achievement of the objectives?** |
| **Please comment:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Are you satisfied that the proposed research has the potential to meet the criteria in Section 12.4 of the CIT Postgraduate Regulations (see below), particularly (c) and (d)? If not, please state reasons.** |
| **12.4 Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) and Professional Doctorate: Examination of Thesis**  Examiners should assess and may recommend the award of Doctor of Philosophy in accordance with the following criteria:  (a) the candidate must demonstrate an understanding of the range of methodologies appropriate to the chosen field and show thorough knowledge of the literature of the subject and of the work of other scholars in the field;  (b) the candidate must demonstrate the capacity of pursuing original independent research in the field of study and of exercising critical reflective analysis;  (c) the thesis must demonstrate that the candidate can interpret results appropriately and present conclusions in a well-reasoned manner  (d) the thesis must be of an appropriate standard in terms of presentation, style and syntax, with a minimum of typographical and grammatical errors and with results and data presented in a clear and accessible manner, including a comprehensive and up-to-date references section;  (e) the thesis must demonstrate that, where relevant, all ethical requirements were addressed properly;  (f) the thesis must make a substantial and original contribution to scholarship and provide evidence of originality by the exercise of independent critical powers;   * (g) the thesis must contain an acceptable amount of original work by the candidate, which is considered by the examiners to be of publishable standard in the form inter alia of: * articles in appropriate refereed journals a book or other scholarly publication * a research/creative or self-expressive work monograph which meets the standard of refereed academic publications; * or, directly arising from the research, have a comparable peer-recognised impact on their profession through e.g.   generation of intellectual property such as patents or licensing  the potential to bring about substantial change in business, professional or manufacturing practice  the potential to bring about substantial change in public service or society  development of innovative products with potentially substantial market impact;  (h) the candidate must present and successfully defend the body of work at an oral examination, such that the examiners are satisfied that the work presented is the candidate’s own work and clearly differentiating the work of the candidate where their research was conducted as part of a team.  The examiners shall also take cognisance of the NQAI Level 10 standards (Section 3.2).  **Please comment:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Have ethical issues (if relevant) been adequately considered?** |
| **Please comment:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Other comments** |
|  |

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_